

Breakout group on **Cell methods**

Climatological time axis and cell methods *within* | *between* *days* | *years*

Focus on

- [cf-conventions issue #197](#)
- [Chapter 7.4 Climatological statistics](#)
- related to [Trac ticket 82](#)

- What does the *climatology* attribute mean?
- Its relation to cell methods *within* and *over*?
- Is *climatology* necessary?
- Can *climatology* be disconnected from the cell methods?
- What to do for CMIP7?
- What is the status quo, and what minimal changes may we want to make [to section 7.4]?
-
- A new or alternative mechanism that allows for a more flexible description of more complex and/or multi-step temporal processing of data.

Status quo

Chapter 7.4 is not quite as clear as one could wish for (as evidenced by the discussion in #197)

- It seems that *climatology* is required whenever cell methods *within/between* are used.
- It seems that *climatology* should be used to describe the “special time axis” required for describing the climatological annual/seasonal or diurnal cycle, i.e. calculations over a set of disconnected time intervals.
- Thus we have CMIP6 files where
 - monthly mean tas does not have *climatology* because
time: mean within days time: mean over days ==> time: mean
 - monthly mean tasmx does not have *climatology* despite the cell method constructs
within/between are used: time: maximum within days time: mean over days

Although they are very similar from a climatological (general sense) point of view

- Currently allowed formats are
 - *time: method1 within years time: method2 over years*
 - *time: method1 within days time: method2 over days*
 - *time: method1 within days time: method2 over days time: method3 over years*

Different time intervals

There are four types of time intervals

- A continuous sequence of non-overlapping periods, such as a time series of hourly, daily, or annual data
- A continuous sequence of overlapping periods, such as a hourly (period: 6 hours), daily (period: 3 days), decadal data (period: 30 years). That is, some kind of running statistic.
- A discontinuous sequence of non-overlapping periods, such as what is needed to calculate a 30-year climatology of the annual cycle at daily resolution

```
time_bounds = 1971-01-01 00:00, 2000-01-02 00:00,  
              1971-01-02 00:00, 2000-01-03 00:00,  
              ...  
              1971-12-31 00:00, 2001-01-01 00:00
```

- A discontinuous sequence of overlapping periods, e.g. to calculate a 30-year climatology of the 5-day smoothed annual cycle at daily resolution

```
time_bounds = 1970-12-29 00:00, 2000-01-03 00:00,  
              1970-12-30 00:00, 2000-01-04 00:00,  
              ...  
              1970-12-29 00:00, 2001-01-03 00:00
```

Relation to existing standard names

| Standard name | | Cell method “processing” | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------|-----------------|-------|
| Description mentions | Category | None | “Sea-level factors” | Area type subset | Time subset | Time processing | Total |
| climatology | *_anomaly | 8 | | | | | 8 |
| climatology (indirect) | various | 4 | | | | | 4 |
| cell_method | surface_* | | 1 | 6 | | | 7 |
| | flux_* | | | 17 | | | 17 |
| | mass_fraction_*(precip) | | | 2 | | | 2 |
| | other | | | 1 | | | 1 |
| | gust_* | | | | 4 | | 4 |
| climatological time axis & cell_method | derived statistics (climate indices) | | | | | 9 | 9 |
| Total | | 13 | 1 | 26 | 4 | 9 | 53 |

Ideas/thoughts/questions that has come up (1)

- Is there a [slight] conceptual difference between "a climatology" and "a climatological time-series"?
-- Personally I would say yes, and this is not clear in Chapter 7.4.
- What is the function of the *climatology* attribute more precisely?
 - Is it necessary? -- **No** because all information is in the time bounds in combination with the cell methods
 - Well, **Yes** it is useful to clearly signal whether it is a “proper” time-series” calculated over a sequential series of time periods, or if it is calculated over a set of discontinuous time periods so as to describe the typical conditions (i.e. “a climatology”)
- If we keep *climatology* could it be disconnected from the cell methods constructs *within / over*?

Ideas/thoughts/questions that has come up (2)

- An extension based on a forecasting use-case:

```
cell_methods = "leadtime: mean within days
```

```
forecast_reference_time: mean over days within months"
```

Ideas/thoughts/questions that has come up (3)

- The list of permissible combinations of within and over contain 3 alternatives. This needs to be extended (Trac ticket 82): to more than 3 steps, more flexible time specs., incl. runnings stats.
- Martin Jukes suggested, partly building on Trac ticket 82, an extension that would introduce substantially more flexibility.

